

THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

NORTHWEST ADMINISTRATORS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

v.

VALLEY PUMP, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO. C18-0865-JCC

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the hearing for Defendant to show cause why it should not be held in civil contempt (Dkt. No. 18).

The Court may grant a motion for an order of contempt if it finds “by clear and convincing evidence” that the non-moving contemnors (1) “violated a court order, (2) beyond substantial compliance, (3) not based on a good faith and reasonable interpretation of the court’s order.” *In re Dual-Deck Video Cassette Recorder Antitrust Litig.*, 10 F.3d 693, 695 (9th Cir. 1993) (internal alterations omitted). If the moving party meets its burden, “[t]he burden then shifts to the contemnors to demonstrate why they were unable to comply” with the court order and show that “they took every reasonable step to comply.” *Stone v. City & Cty. of San Francisco*, 968 F.2d 850, 856 n.9 (9th Cir. 1992).

Plaintiff has submitted clear and convincing evidence that Defendant was served with the Court’s order compelling audit on November 8, 2018 and February 14, 2019. (Dkt. Nos. 15-1 at

1 5, 17 at 1.) Plaintiff has also submitted clear and convincing evidence that Defendant has not  
2 responded to the Court's order. (Dkt. No. 15 at 2.) On March 5, 2019, the Court held a hearing  
3 for Defendant to demonstrate why it was unable to comply with the Court's order and to show  
4 that it took every reasonable step to comply. (Dkt. No. 16.) Defendant failed to appear at the  
5 hearing and has not otherwise responded. (See Dkt. No. 18.) Thus, Defendant has not met its  
6 burden of establishing that civil contempt is unwarranted. *See Stone*, 968 F.2d at 856 n.9.

7 For the foregoing reasons, the Court finds Defendant in civil contempt of the Court's  
8 order. Beginning on the date this order is issued, Defendant is ORDERED to pay to the Court  
9 \$200 per day. This sanction shall continue to accrue until Defendant files a declaration  
10 confirming that it has complied with the Court's order compelling audit (Dkt. No. 13).

11 DATED this 5th day of March 2019.

12  
13  
14 

15 John C. Coughenour  
16 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26